EMERGENT GEOMETRY FROM MATRIX MODELS

M.SIVAKUMAR UNIVERSITY OF HYDERABAD

June 24, 2011 H.S.Yang and MS - (Phys Rev D 82-2010) Lebedev Physical Institute

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >

Emergent gravity



- Emergent gravity
- Matrix model-emergent geometry

- Emergent gravity
- Matrix model-emergent geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Mass deformed IKKT model

- Emergent gravity
- Matrix model-emergent geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Mass deformed IKKT model
- Conclusion

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >

Sakharaov paradigm: "metrical elasticity of space-generalized forces which oppose the curving of space"- induced gravity

Sakharaov paradigm: "metrical elasticity of space-generalized forces which oppose the curving of space"- induced gravity

 General Relativity -Thermodynamics nexus(Jacobson-Padmanabhan..)

Sakharaov paradigm: "metrical elasticity of space-generalized forces which oppose the curving of space"- induced gravity

- General Relativity -Thermodynamics nexus(Jacobson-Padmanabhan..)
- ▶ Thermodynamics— > stat mech of atoms.

- Sakharaov paradigm: "metrical elasticity of space-generalized forces which oppose the curving of space"- induced gravity
- General Relativity -Thermodynamics nexus(Jacobson-Padmanabhan..)
- ▶ Thermodynamics— > stat mech of atoms.
- ▶ Gen. Rel, dynamics of spacetime--> emergent spacetime

- Sakharaov paradigm: "metrical elasticity of space-generalized forces which oppose the curving of space"- induced gravity
- General Relativity -Thermodynamics nexus(Jacobson-Padmanabhan..)
- ▶ Thermodynamics— > stat mech of atoms.
- ▶ Gen. Rel, dynamics of spacetime--> emergent spacetime

- Dark-energy, quantum gravity..
- Gravity not fundamental?

No go theorem:Weinberg-Witten theorem

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで

- No go theorem:Weinberg-Witten theorem
- Sakharov induced gravity:general relativity arises as an emergent property of quantized matter fields

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- No go theorem:Weinberg-Witten theorem
- Sakharov induced gravity:general relativity arises as an emergent property of quantized matter fields

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

► AdS-CFT,

- No go theorem:Weinberg-Witten theorem
- Sakharov induced gravity:general relativity arises as an emergent property of quantized matter fields
- AdS-CFT,
- Horava-Lifshitz,(Gen Rel low energy -high energy spacetime non covariant-metric still fundamental)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- No go theorem:Weinberg-Witten theorem
- Sakharov induced gravity:general relativity arises as an emergent property of quantized matter fields
- AdS-CFT,
- Horava-Lifshitz,(Gen Rel low energy -high energy spacetime non covariant-metric still fundamental)
- Verlinde entropic gravity; spin foam...
- Non commutative(Moyal) spacetime and NC U(1)as emergent gravity?? Rivelles (2002),H.S.Yang (2005),also Steinacker (2008)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Endow spacetime with a symplectic structure B_{ab}

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Endow spacetime with a symplectic structure B_{ab}
- quantize the spacetime y^a with its Poisson structure $\theta^{ab} \equiv (B^{-1})^{ab}$, described by $\{y^a, y^b\} = i\theta^{ab}$

- Endow spacetime with a symplectic structure B_{ab}
- quantize the spacetime y^a with its Poisson structure $\theta^{ab} \equiv (B^{-1})^{ab}$, described by $\{y^a, y^b\} = i\theta^{ab}$

• Deformation $:(f.g)(x) \to (f \star g)(x)$

- Endow spacetime with a symplectic structure B_{ab}
- quantize the spacetime y^a with its Poisson structure $\theta^{ab} \equiv (B^{-1})^{ab}$, described by $\{y^a, y^b\} = i\theta^{ab}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Deformation : $(f.g)(x) \rightarrow (f \star g)(x)$
- $[f,g]_{\star} > \{f,g\}_{\theta} + ..$

 U(1)_{*} gauge transformation is translation: exp ik.y * f(x) * exp −iky = f(x + θk). Infinitesmally −iB_{ab}[y^b, f] = ∂_af

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- U(1)_{*} gauge transformation is translation: exp ik.y * f(x) * exp − iky = f(x + θk). Infinitesmally −iB_{ab}[y^b, f] = ∂_af
- NC U(1): No local gauge invariant observables ,non- linearity :similarity to GTR.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- U(1)_{*} gauge transformation is translation: exp ik.y * f(x) * exp − iky = f(x + θk). Infinitesmally −iB_{ab}[y^b, f] = ∂_af
- NC U(1): No local gauge invariant observables ,non- linearity :similarity to GTR.
- NC scalar-U(1)coupling →scalar- linear gravity coupling. (Rivelles):UV-IR mixing =effect of emergent gravity (Grosse-Steinacker)-NC U(N) =gravity + SU(N)(Steinacker)

- $U(1)_*$ gauge transformation is translation: exp $ik.y * f(x) * exp - iky = f(x + \theta k)$. Infinitesmally $-iB_{ab}[y^b, f] = \partial_a f$
- NC U(1): No local gauge invariant observables ,non- linearity :similarity to GTR.
- NC scalar-U(1)coupling →scalar- linear gravity coupling. (Rivelles):UV-IR mixing =effect of emergent gravity (Grosse-Steinacker)-NC U(N) =gravity + SU(N)(Steinacker)
- ► the gauge symmetry acting on U(1) gauge fields as A → A + dφ is a diffeomorphism symmetry generated by a vector field X_φ satisfying L_{X_φ}B = 0,

- U(1)_{*} gauge transformation is translation: exp ik.y * f(x) * exp − iky = f(x + θk). Infinitesmally −iB_{ab}[y^b, f] = ∂_af
- NC U(1): No local gauge invariant observables ,non- linearity :similarity to GTR.
- NC scalar-U(1)coupling →scalar- linear gravity coupling. (Rivelles):UV-IR mixing =effect of emergent gravity (Grosse-Steinacker)-NC U(N) =gravity + SU(N)(Steinacker)
- ► the gauge symmetry acting on U(1) gauge fields as A → A + dφ is a diffeomorphism symmetry generated by a vector field X_φ satisfying L_{X_φ}B = 0,
- Darboux theorem of symplectic geometry as equivalence principle; can always locally eliminate EM by coordinate transformation .(H.S.Yang)
- ▶ Can *U*(1) gauge theory on symplectic lead to gravity?

- U(1)_{*} gauge transformation is translation: exp ik.y * f(x) * exp − iky = f(x + θk). Infinitesmally −iB_{ab}[y^b, f] = ∂_af
- NC U(1): No local gauge invariant observables ,non- linearity :similarity to GTR.
- NC scalar-U(1)coupling →scalar- linear gravity coupling. (Rivelles):UV-IR mixing =effect of emergent gravity (Grosse-Steinacker)-NC U(N) =gravity + SU(N)(Steinacker)
- ► the gauge symmetry acting on U(1) gauge fields as A → A + dφ is a diffeomorphism symmetry generated by a vector field X_φ satisfying L_{X_φ}B = 0,
- Darboux theorem of symplectic geometry as equivalence principle; can always locally eliminate EM by coordinate transformation .(H.S.Yang)
- Can U(1) gauge theory on symplectic lead to gravity?
- Can quantised spacetime be emergent?

IKKT model (1996)

• Matrix models \rightarrow spacetime structure.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ = のへで

$$S_{IKKT} = -\frac{1}{4} Tr[X_a, X_b][X^a, X^b]$$

IKKT model (1996)

• Matrix models \rightarrow spacetime structure.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $\triangleright \ S_{IKKT} = -\frac{1}{4} Tr[X_a, X_b][X^a, X^b]$
- Eqn of motion: $[X_a, [X^a, X^b]] = 0$,

IKKT model (1996)

- Matrix models \rightarrow spacetime structure.
- Eqn of motion: $[X_a, [X^a, X^b]] = 0$,
- A classical solution is given by X^a_{cl} = y^a, with [y^a, y^b] = iθ^{ab}.
 (NC) spacetime is a solution and not given apriori.

IKKT model-fluctuation

• expand $X^a \equiv \theta^{ab} \widehat{D}_b$ around the Moyal vacuum .

IKKT model-fluctuation

• expand
$$X^a \equiv \theta^{ab} \widehat{D}_b$$
 around the Moyal vacuum .

$$\triangleright \ \widehat{D}_a(y) = B_{ab}y^b + \widehat{A}_a(y)$$

IKKT model-fluctuation

• expand $X^a \equiv \theta^{ab} \widehat{D}_b$ around the Moyal vacuum .

$$\blacktriangleright \widehat{D}_a(y) = B_{ab}y^b + \widehat{A}_a(y)$$

• UXU^{\dagger} symmetry leads to Gauge transform of A

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

$$-i[\widehat{D}_{a}(y), \widehat{D}_{b}(y)]_{\star} = \partial_{a}\widehat{A}_{b}(y) - \partial_{b}\widehat{A}_{a}(y) - i[\widehat{A}_{a}(y), \widehat{A}_{b}(y)]_{\star} - B_{ab} = \widehat{F}_{ab}(y) - B_{ab}.$$

IKKT model-fluctuaion

► Then the IKKT matrix model becomes NC U(1) gauge theory $S_{NC} = \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} \int d^{2n} y G^{ac} G^{bd} (\widehat{F} - B)_{ab} \star (\widehat{F} - B)_{cd}$ where $G^{ab} = \theta^{ac} \theta^{bc}$

IKKT model-fluctuaion

► Then the IKKT matrix model becomes NC U(1) gauge theory $S_{NC} = \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} \int d^{2n} y G^{ac} G^{bd} (\widehat{F} - B)_{ab} \star (\widehat{F} - B)_{cd}$ where $G^{ab} = \theta^{ac} \theta^{bc}$

• equations of motion for NC gauge fields : $\widehat{D}_{[a}\widehat{F}_{bc]} = 0$

IKKT model-fluctuaion

► Then the IKKT matrix model becomes NC U(1) gauge theory $S_{NC} = \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} \int d^{2n} y G^{ac} G^{bd} (\hat{F} - B)_{ab} \star (\hat{F} - B)_{cd}$ where $G^{ab} = \theta^{ac} \theta^{bc}$

- equations of motion for NC gauge fields : $\widehat{D}_{[a}\widehat{F}_{bc]} = 0$
- How metric is related to Gauge field?
▶ Poisson algebra provides $f \mapsto X_f$ -Hamiltonian vector field $X_f(g) = \{g, f\}_{\theta}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- ▶ Poisson algebra provides $f \mapsto X_f$ -Hamiltonian vector field $X_f(g) = \{g, f\}_{\theta}$
- F and the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field X_f is the Lie algebra homomophism in the sense X_{{f,g}_θ} = −[X_f, X_g]

- ▶ Poisson algebra provides $f \mapsto X_f$ -Hamiltonian vector field $X_f(g) = \{g, f\}_{\theta}$
- F and the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field X_f is the Lie algebra homomophism in the sense X_{{f,g}_θ} = −[X_f, X_g]

• Given
$$D_a$$

 $-i[\widehat{D}_a(y), \widehat{f}(y)]_{\star} = -\theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial D_a(y)}{\partial y^{\nu}} \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y^{\mu}} + \cdots$

- ▶ Poisson algebra provides $f \mapsto X_f$ -Hamiltonian vector field $X_f(g) = \{g, f\}_{\theta}$
- F and the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field X_f is the Lie algebra homomophism in the sense X_{{f,g}_θ} = −[X_f, X_g]

• Given
$$D_a$$

 $-i[\widehat{D}_a(y), \widehat{f}(y)]_{\star} = -\theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial D_a(y)}{\partial y^{\nu}} \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y^{\mu}} + \cdots$

- ▶ Poisson algebra provides $f \mapsto X_f$ -Hamiltonian vector field $X_f(g) = \{g, f\}_{\theta}$
- F and the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field X_f is the Lie algebra homomophism in the sense X_{{f,g}_θ} = −[X_f, X_g]

• Given
$$D_a$$

 $-i[\widehat{D}_a(y), \widehat{f}(y)]_{\star} = -\theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial D_a(y)}{\partial y^{\nu}} \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y^{\mu}} + \cdots$

Vector fields V_a[](y) to order θ is θ^{μν} ∂D_a(y) ∂/∂y^μ which form a set of vector fields. But V_a are not orthonormal.

► Orthonormal E_a = (λ)⁻¹V_a where λ² = det V^μ_a ds² = g_{ab}E^a ⊗ E^b

 \blacktriangleright eqn of motion for gauge field \rightarrow Einstein eqn for the metric .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- \blacktriangleright eqn of motion for gauge field \rightarrow Einstein eqn for the metric .
- ► Flat spacetime comes from A⁽⁰⁾_a = B_{ab}y^b whose field strength is constant = B_{ab}→ Flat spacetime condensation of gauge field

- \blacktriangleright eqn of motion for gauge field \rightarrow Einstein eqn for the metric .
- ► Flat spacetime comes from A⁽⁰⁾_a = B_{ab}y^b whose field strength is constant = B_{ab}→ Flat spacetime condensation of gauge field

Energy associated with vacuum is M⁴_P

- \blacktriangleright eqn of motion for gauge field \rightarrow Einstein eqn for the metric .
- ► Flat spacetime comes from A_a⁽⁰⁾ = B_{ab}y^b whose field strength is constant = B_{ab}→ Flat spacetime condensation of gauge field
- Energy associated with vacuum is M⁴_P
- Vacuum energy is used to make flat spacetime , hence does not gravitate! Soln to CC problem!

- \blacktriangleright eqn of motion for gauge field \rightarrow Einstein eqn for the metric .
- ► Flat spacetime comes from A_a⁽⁰⁾ = B_{ab}y^b whose field strength is constant = B_{ab}→ Flat spacetime condensation of gauge field
- Energy associated with vacuum is M⁴_P
- Vacuum energy is used to make flat spacetime , hence does not gravitate! Soln to CC problem!

Generalization to constant curvature space?

•
$$S_{mCS} = \kappa \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{i}{3!} \varepsilon_{ABC} X^{A}[X^{B}, X^{C}] - \frac{\lambda}{2} X_{A} X^{A}\right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

•
$$S_{mCS} = \kappa \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{i}{3!} \varepsilon_{ABC} X^A [X^B, X^C] - \frac{\lambda}{2} X_A X^A\right).$$

• Equation of motion : $[X^A, X^B] = -i\lambda \varepsilon^{AB} {}_C X^C$

•
$$S_{mCS} = \kappa Tr\left(\frac{i}{3!}\varepsilon_{ABC}X^{A}[X^{B}, X^{C}] - \frac{\lambda}{2}X_{A}X^{A}\right).$$

• Equation of motion : $[X^A, X^B] = -i\lambda \varepsilon^{AB}{}_C X^C$

Invariant (−)[#]R² ≡ g_{AB}X^AX^B. They describe a two-dimensional manifold M₂.

•
$$S_{mCS} = \kappa Tr\left(\frac{i}{3!}\varepsilon_{ABC}X^{A}[X^{B}, X^{C}] - \frac{\lambda}{2}X_{A}X^{A}\right).$$

• Equation of motion : $[X^A, X^B] = -i\lambda \varepsilon^{AB} {}_C X^C$

- Invariant (−)[‡]R² ≡ g_{AB}X^AX^B. They describe a two-dimensional manifold M₂.
- ► \mathcal{M}_2 is symplectic Local coordinates: $\frac{1}{2}B_{ab}dy^a \wedge dy^b = -dy^1 \wedge dy^2$.

•
$$S_{mCS} = \kappa Tr\left(\frac{i}{3!}\varepsilon_{ABC}X^{A}[X^{B}, X^{C}] - \frac{\lambda}{2}X_{A}X^{A}\right).$$

• Equation of motion : $[X^A, X^B] = -i\lambda \varepsilon^{AB}{}_C X^C$

- Invariant (−)[‡]R² ≡ g_{AB}X^AX^B. They describe a two-dimensional manifold M₂.
- \mathcal{M}_2 is symplectic Local coordinates: $\frac{1}{2}B_{ab}dy^a \wedge dy^b = -dy^1 \wedge dy^2$.
- M₂ as a hypersurface embedded in ℝ³ or ℝ^{2,1} and described by L^A = L^A(y^a), X^A = L^A is classical solution.

•
$$S_{mCS} = \kappa Tr\left(\frac{i}{3!}\varepsilon_{ABC}X^{A}[X^{B}, X^{C}] - \frac{\lambda}{2}X_{A}X^{A}\right).$$

• Equation of motion : $[X^A, X^B] = -i\lambda \varepsilon^{AB}{}_C X^C$

- Invariant (−)[‡]R² ≡ g_{AB}X^AX^B. They describe a two-dimensional manifold M₂.
- \mathcal{M}_2 is symplectic Local coordinates: $\frac{1}{2}B_{ab}dy^a \wedge dy^b = -dy^1 \wedge dy^2$.
- M₂ as a hypersurface embedded in ℝ³ or ℝ^{2,1} and described by L^A = L^A(y^a), X^A = L^A is classical solution.

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

► fluctuation of the surface M_2 around the vacuum geometry $X^A(y) = L^A(y) + A^A(y)$.

- ► fluctuation of the surface M_2 around the vacuum geometry $X^A(y) = L^A(y) + A^A(y)$.
- ► action for the fluctuations $\widehat{S}_{mCS} = -\frac{\kappa}{12\pi|\theta|} \int d^2 y \left(\varepsilon_{ABC} \widehat{X}^A \star \widehat{F}^{BC} + \lambda \widehat{X}_A \star \widehat{X}^A \right).$ where $F^{AB} = \{ L^A, A^B \}_{\theta} - \{ L^B, A^A \}_{\theta} + \{ A^A, A^B \}_{\theta} + \varepsilon^{AB} C A^C.$

- ► fluctuation of the surface M_2 around the vacuum geometry $X^A(y) = L^A(y) + A^A(y)$.
- ► action for the fluctuations $\widehat{S}_{mCS} = -\frac{\kappa}{12\pi|\theta|} \int d^2 y \left(\varepsilon_{ABC} \widehat{X}^A \star \widehat{F}^{BC} + \lambda \widehat{X}_A \star \widehat{X}^A \right).$ where $F^{AB} = \{ L^A, A^B \}_{\theta} - \{ L^B, A^A \}_{\theta} + \{ A^A, A^B \}_{\theta} + \varepsilon^{AB} C A^C.$

► The equations of motion derived from the variation with respect to \widehat{A}^A is $\widehat{F}^{AB} = 0$,

- ► fluctuation of the surface M_2 around the vacuum geometry $X^A(y) = L^A(y) + A^A(y)$.
- ► action for the fluctuations $\widehat{S}_{mCS} = -\frac{\kappa}{12\pi|\theta|} \int d^2 y \left(\varepsilon_{ABC} \widehat{X}^A \star \widehat{F}^{BC} + \lambda \widehat{X}_A \star \widehat{X}^A \right).$ where $F^{AB} = \{ L^A, A^B \}_{\theta} - \{ L^B, A^A \}_{\theta} + \{ A^A, A^B \}_{\theta} + \varepsilon^{AB} C A^C.$
- ► The equations of motion derived from the variation with respect to \widehat{A}^A is $\widehat{F}^{AB} = 0$,
- ► The fluctuating coordinate system satisfies the following Poisson bracket relation $\{X^A, X^B\}_{\theta} = -\varepsilon^{AB}{}_C X^C + F^{AB}$ where

- ► fluctuation of the surface M_2 around the vacuum geometry $X^A(y) = L^A(y) + A^A(y)$.
- ► action for the fluctuations $\widehat{S}_{mCS} = -\frac{\kappa}{12\pi|\theta|} \int d^2 y \left(\varepsilon_{ABC} \widehat{X}^A \star \widehat{F}^{BC} + \lambda \widehat{X}_A \star \widehat{X}^A \right).$ where $F^{AB} = \{ L^A, A^B \}_{\theta} - \{ L^B, A^A \}_{\theta} + \{ A^A, A^B \}_{\theta} + \varepsilon^{AB} C A^C.$
- ► The equations of motion derived from the variation with respect to \widehat{A}^A is $\widehat{F}^{AB} = 0$,
- ► The fluctuating coordinate system satisfies the following Poisson bracket relation $\{X^A, X^B\}_{\theta} = -\varepsilon^{AB} C X^C + F^{AB}$ where
- Note F^{AB} should satisfy Jacobi identity. This gives ϵ_{ABC} {X^A, {X^B, X^C}_θ}_θ = 0. This constraint can be solved by taking F^{AB}(X) = ϵ^{ABC} ∂F(X)/∂X^C

►
$$\{X^A, X^B\}_{\theta} = \varepsilon^{ABC} \frac{\partial G(X)}{\partial X^C}$$
 where the polynomial $G(X)$ is defined in $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{3-\rho,\rho}$ and given by $G(X) = F(X) - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}X^AX^B + \rho.$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

- ► $\{X^A, X^B\}_{\theta} = \varepsilon^{ABC} \frac{\partial G(X)}{\partial X^C}$ where the polynomial G(X) is defined in $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{3-p,p}$ and given by $G(X) = F(X) \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}X^AX^B + \rho$.
- ▶ function F(X) is an arbitrary polynomial in 3 variables in M defines a two-dimensional surface M₂

- ► $\{X^A, X^B\}_{\theta} = \varepsilon^{ABC} \frac{\partial G(X)}{\partial X^C}$ where the polynomial G(X) is defined in $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{3-p,p}$ and given by $G(X) = F(X) \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}X^AX^B + \rho$.
- ▶ function F(X) is an arbitrary polynomial in 3 variables in M defines a two-dimensional surface M₂
- ► determine the embedding coordinate by solving the polynomial equation G(X) = 0. The metric of the two-dimensional surface given by the vector fields $\widehat{V}_A = \theta^{ab} \frac{\partial X_A(y)}{\partial y^b} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} + \dots$

- ► $\{X^A, X^B\}_{\theta} = \varepsilon^{ABC} \frac{\partial G(X)}{\partial X^C}$ where the polynomial G(X) is defined in $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{3-p,p}$ and given by $G(X) = F(X) \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}X^AX^B + \rho.$
- ▶ function F(X) is an arbitrary polynomial in 3 variables in M defines a two-dimensional surface M₂
- ► determine the embedding coordinate by solving the polynomial equation G(X) = 0. The metric of the two-dimensional surface given by the vector fields $\widehat{V}_A = \theta^{ab} \frac{\partial X_A(y)}{\partial y^b} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} + \dots$

• $g_{AB} \frac{\partial X^A}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial X^B}{\partial y^b}$ is the induced metric for arbitrary potential

• Mass deformed IKKT model: $S_m = S_{IKKT} + m^2 Tr X^a X_a$

• "Linearized" form $:S_{\kappa} = Tr\left(\frac{1}{4}M_{ab}M^{ab} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}M_{ab}[X^{a}, X^{b}] + \frac{d-1}{2\kappa}X_{a}X^{a}\right)$

• Mass deformed IKKT model: $S_m = S_{IKKT} + m^2 Tr X^a X_a$

• "Linearized" form $:S_{\kappa} = Tr(\frac{1}{4}M_{ab}M^{ab} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}M_{ab}[X^{a}, X^{b}] + \frac{d-1}{2\kappa}X_{a}X^{a})$

▶ Symmetry: $(X^a, M_{ab}) \rightarrow U(X^a, M_{ab})U^{\dagger}$

• Mass deformed IKKT model: $S_m = S_{IKKT} + m^2 Tr X^a X_a$

- ► "Linearized" form : $S_{\kappa} = Tr(\frac{1}{4}M_{ab}M^{ab} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}M_{ab}[X^{a}, X^{b}] + \frac{d-1}{2\kappa}X_{a}X^{a})$
- ▶ Symmetry: $(X^a, M_{ab}) \rightarrow U(X^a, M_{ab})U^{\dagger}$
- Equation of motion: $[X^a, X^b] = \kappa M^{ab}$ $[M^{ab}, X_b] + (d-1)X^a = 0.$

- Mass deformed IKKT model: $S_m = S_{IKKT} + m^2 Tr X^a X_a$
- "Linearized" form $:S_{\kappa} = Tr(\frac{1}{4}M_{ab}M^{ab} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}M_{ab}[X^{a}, X^{b}] + \frac{d-1}{2\kappa}X_{a}X^{a})$
- ▶ Symmetry: $(X^a, M_{ab}) \rightarrow U(X^a, M_{ab})U^{\dagger}$
- Equation of motion: $[X^a, X^b] = \kappa M^{ab}$ $[M^{ab}, X_b] + (d-1)X^a = 0.$
- The above eqn is has Snyder algebra as solution: [X^a, X^b] = κM^{ab}, [X^a, M^{bc}] = g^{ac}X^b - g^{ab}X^c, [M^{ab}, M^{cd}] = g^{ac}M^{bd} - g^{ad}M^{bc} - g^{bc}M^{ad} + g^{bd}M^{ac}

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Mass deformed IKKT model: $S_m = S_{IKKT} + m^2 Tr X^a X_a$
- "Linearized" form $:S_{\kappa} = Tr\left(\frac{1}{4}M_{ab}M^{ab} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}M_{ab}[X^{a}, X^{b}] + \frac{d-1}{2\kappa}X_{a}X^{a}\right)$
- ▶ Symmetry: $(X^a, M_{ab}) \rightarrow U(X^a, M_{ab})U^{\dagger}$
- Equation of motion: $[X^a, X^b] = \kappa M^{ab}$ $[M^{ab}, X_b] + (d-1)X^a = 0.$
- The above eqn is has Snyder algebra as solution: [X^a, X^b] = κM^{ab}, [X^a, M^{bc}] = g^{ac}X^b - g^{ab}X^c, [M^{ab}, M^{cd}] = g^{ac}M^{bd} - g^{ad}M^{bc} - g^{bc}M^{ad} + g^{bd}M^{ac}
- Comments: Snyder algebra is Lorentz algebra in d + 1 dim $\{M_{ab}\&X_a\} = M_{AB}$

 To map Matrix algebra to NC * algebra: Snyder algebra from deformation quantisation of a Poisson manifold whose Poisson tensor : Π = ¹/₂L^{ab}(x) ∂/∂x^a ∧ ∂/∂x^b {x^a, x^b}_Π = L^{ab}(x)

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- To map Matrix algebra to NC * algebra: Snyder algebra from deformation quantisation of a Poisson manifold whose Poisson tensor :Π = ¹/₂L^{ab}(x) ∂/∂x^a ∧ ∂/∂x^b {x^a, x^b}_Π = L^{ab}(x)
- Need to define a vector field: Enough to have Poisson tensor A → Π[‡](A) = L^{ab}(x)A_a(x) ∂/∂x^b Given a smooth Poisson manifold (M, Π), there is map f → X_f = Π[‡](df) is a lie-algebra homomorphism

- To map Matrix algebra to NC * algebra: Snyder algebra from deformation quantisation of a Poisson manifold whose Poisson tensor :Π = ¹/₂L^{ab}(x) ∂/∂x^a ∧ ∂/∂x^b {x^a, x^b}_Π = L^{ab}(x)
- Need to define a vector field: Enough to have Poisson tensor A → Π[‡](A) = L^{ab}(x)A_a(x) ∂/∂x^b Given a smooth Poisson manifold (M, Π), there is map f → X_f = Π[‡](df) is a lie-algebra homomorphism

▶ the vector fields for the background $D_a^{(0)}(x)$ are given by $ad_{\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}}[\widehat{f}](x) = -i[\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x), \widehat{f}(x)]_{\star} = V_a^{(0)\mu}(x)\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \cdots$

- To map Matrix algebra to NC * algebra: Snyder algebra from deformation quantisation of a Poisson manifold whose Poisson tensor :Π = ½L^{ab}(x) ∂/∂x^a ∧ ∂/∂x^b {x^a, x^b}_Π = L^{ab}(x)
- Need to define a vector field: Enough to have Poisson tensor A → Π[‡](A) = L^{ab}(x)A_a(x) ∂/∂x^b Given a smooth Poisson manifold (M, Π), there is map f → X_f = Π[‡](df) is a lie-algebra homomorphism
- ▶ the vector fields for the background $D_a^{(0)}(x)$ are given by $ad_{\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}}[\widehat{f}](x) = -i[\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x), \widehat{f}(x)]_{\star} = V_a^{(0)\mu}(x)\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \cdots$
- ► The vector fields $(V_a^{(0)}, S_{ab}^{(0)}) \in \Gamma(TM_{\text{back}})$ as differential Lorentz generators of $SO(5 - p, p) \ S_{AB}^{(0)} = \kappa \left(x_B \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} - x_A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^B} \right)$
Vaccuum geometry in mass-deformed IKKT

- To map Matrix algebra to NC * algebra: Snyder algebra from deformation quantisation of a Poisson manifold whose Poisson tensor :Π = ½L^{ab}(x) ∂/∂x^a ∧ ∂/∂x^b {x^a, x^b}_Π = L^{ab}(x)
- Need to define a vector field: Enough to have Poisson tensor A → Π[♯](A) = L^{ab}(x)A_a(x) ∂/∂x^b Given a smooth Poisson manifold (M, Π), there is map f → X_f = Π[♯](df) is a lie-algebra homomorphism
- ▶ the vector fields for the background $D_a^{(0)}(x)$ are given by $ad_{\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}}[\widehat{f}](x) = -i[\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x), \widehat{f}(x)]_{\star} = V_a^{(0)\mu}(x)\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \cdots$
- ► The vector fields $(V_a^{(0)}, S_{ab}^{(0)}) \in \Gamma(TM_{\text{back}})$ as differential Lorentz generators of $SO(5 - p, p) \ S_{AB}^{(0)} = \kappa \left(x_B \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} - x_A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^B} \right)$

• consider a invariant of the 5d Lorentz algebra $g_{AB}x^Ax^B = (-1)^{\sharp}R^2$.

Vaccuum geometry in mass-deformed IKKT

- To map Matrix algebra to NC * algebra: Snyder algebra from deformation quantisation of a Poisson manifold whose Poisson tensor :Π = ½L^{ab}(x) ∂/∂x^a ∧ ∂/∂x^b {x^a, x^b}_Π = L^{ab}(x)
- Need to define a vector field: Enough to have Poisson tensor A → Π[♯](A) = L^{ab}(x)A_a(x) ∂/∂x^b Given a smooth Poisson manifold (M, Π), there is map f → X_f = Π[♯](df) is a lie-algebra homomorphism
- ▶ the vector fields for the background $D_a^{(0)}(x)$ are given by $ad_{\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}}[\widehat{f}](x) = -i[\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x), \widehat{f}(x)]_{\star} = V_a^{(0)\mu}(x)\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \cdots$
- ► The vector fields $(V_a^{(0)}, S_{ab}^{(0)}) \in \Gamma(TM_{\text{back}})$ as differential Lorentz generators of $SO(5 - p, p) \ S_{AB}^{(0)} = \kappa \left(x_B \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} - x_A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^B} \right)$

• consider a invariant of the 5d Lorentz algebra $g_{AB}x^Ax^B = (-1)^{\sharp}R^2$.

Vaccuum geometry in mass-deformed IKKT

- To map Matrix algebra to NC * algebra: Snyder algebra from deformation quantisation of a Poisson manifold whose Poisson tensor :Π = ½L^{ab}(x) ∂/∂x^a ∧ ∂/∂x^b {x^a, x^b}_Π = L^{ab}(x)
- Need to define a vector field: Enough to have Poisson tensor A → Π[♯](A) = L^{ab}(x)A_a(x) ∂/∂x^b Given a smooth Poisson manifold (M, Π), there is map f → X_f = Π[♯](df) is a lie-algebra homomorphism
- ► the vector fields for the background $D_a^{(0)}(x)$ are given by $ad_{\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}}[\widehat{f}](x) = -i[\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x), \widehat{f}(x)]_{\star} = V_a^{(0)\mu}(x)\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \cdots$
- ► The vector fields $(V_a^{(0)}, S_{ab}^{(0)}) \in \Gamma(TM_{\text{back}})$ as differential Lorentz generators of $SO(5 - p, p) \ S_{AB}^{(0)} = \kappa \left(x_B \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} - x_A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^B} \right)$
- consider a invariant of the 5d Lorentz algebra $g_{AB}x^{A}x^{B} = (-1)^{\sharp}R^{2}$.
- ► $ds^2 = \mathfrak{G}^{(0)}_{ab} dx^a \otimes dx^b = (\det \mathfrak{G}^{(0)}_{ab})g_{AB}V^{(0)A}_aV^{(0)B}_b dx^a \otimes dx^b = (\det \mathfrak{G}^{(0)}_{ab})(g_{ab}x_5^2 + g_{55}x_ax_b)dx^a \otimes dx^b = g_{AB}\frac{\partial x^A}{\partial x^a}\frac{\partial x^B}{\partial x^b}dx^a \otimes dx^b$

Emergent Vacuum geometry is Constant curvature space in d-dimensions such as S^d, dS_d and AdS_d, depending on embedded flat Euclidean or Lorentzian spacetime in (d + 1)-dimensions.

- Emergent Vacuum geometry is Constant curvature space in d-dimensions such as S^d, dS_d and AdS_d, depending on embedded flat Euclidean or Lorentzian spacetime in (d + 1)-dimensions.
- ► Consider fluctuations of $X^a \equiv \kappa g^{ab} \widehat{D}_b(x)$ around the vacuum solution as: $\widehat{D}_a(x) = \widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) + \widehat{A}_a(x)$ where $\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) = \frac{g_{ab}}{\kappa} x^b$

- Emergent Vacuum geometry is Constant curvature space in d-dimensions such as S^d, dS_d and AdS_d, depending on embedded flat Euclidean or Lorentzian spacetime in (d + 1)-dimensions.
- ► Consider fluctuations of $X^a \equiv \kappa g^{ab} \widehat{D}_b(x)$ around the vacuum solution as: $\widehat{D}_a(x) = \widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) + \widehat{A}_a(x)$ where $\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) = \frac{g_{ab}}{\kappa} x^b$

- Emergent Vacuum geometry is Constant curvature space in d-dimensions such as S^d, dS_d and AdS_d, depending on embedded flat Euclidean or Lorentzian spacetime in (d + 1)-dimensions.
- Consider fluctuations of $X^a \equiv \kappa g^{ab} \widehat{D}_b(x)$ around the vacuum solution as: $\widehat{D}_a(x) = \widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) + \widehat{A}_a(x)$ where $\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) = \frac{g_{ab}}{\kappa} x^b$

$$\widehat{[D}_a, \widehat{D}_b]_{\star} = \\ i\kappa^{-1}\widehat{L}_{ab}(x) + [\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}, \widehat{A}_b]_{\star} - [\widehat{D}_b^{(0)}, \widehat{A}_a]_{\star} + [\widehat{A}_a, \widehat{A}_b]_{\star} \equiv i\widehat{F}_{ab}.$$

- Emergent Vacuum geometry is Constant curvature space in d-dimensions such as S^d, dS_d and AdS_d, depending on embedded flat Euclidean or Lorentzian spacetime in (d + 1)-dimensions.
- ► Consider fluctuations of $X^a \equiv \kappa g^{ab} \widehat{D}_b(x)$ around the vacuum solution as: $\widehat{D}_a(x) = \widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) + \widehat{A}_a(x)$ where $\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) = \frac{g_{ab}}{\kappa} x^b$

- $[\widehat{D}_a, \widehat{D}_b]_{\star} = \\ i\kappa^{-1}\widehat{L}_{ab}(x) + [\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}, \widehat{A}_b]_{\star} [\widehat{D}_b^{(0)}, \widehat{A}_a]_{\star} + [\widehat{A}_a, \widehat{A}_b]_{\star} \equiv i\widehat{F}_{ab}.$
- ► the action for the fluctuations in $\hat{S}_{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} Tr_{\mathcal{H}} g^{ac} g^{bd} \hat{F}_{ab} \star \hat{F}_{cd} + \frac{(d-1)\kappa}{2} Tr_{\mathcal{H}} g^{ab} \hat{D}_a \star \hat{D}_b$

- Emergent Vacuum geometry is Constant curvature space in d-dimensions such as S^d, dS_d and AdS_d, depending on embedded flat Euclidean or Lorentzian spacetime in (d + 1)-dimensions.
- ► Consider fluctuations of $X^a \equiv \kappa g^{ab} \widehat{D}_b(x)$ around the vacuum solution as: $\widehat{D}_a(x) = \widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) + \widehat{A}_a(x)$ where $\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}(x) = \frac{g_{ab}}{\kappa} x^b$

- $[\widehat{D}_a, \widehat{D}_b]_{\star} = i\kappa^{-1}\widehat{L}_{ab}(x) + [\widehat{D}_a^{(0)}, \widehat{A}_b]_{\star} [\widehat{D}_b^{(0)}, \widehat{A}_a]_{\star} + [\widehat{A}_a, \widehat{A}_b]_{\star} \equiv i\widehat{F}_{ab}.$
- ► the action for the fluctuations in $\widehat{S}_{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} Tr_{\mathcal{H}} g^{ac} g^{bd} \widehat{F}_{ab} \star \widehat{F}_{cd} + \frac{(d-1)\kappa}{2} Tr_{\mathcal{H}} g^{ab} \widehat{D}_a \star \widehat{D}_b$
- Respects NC U(1) symmetry

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲□ > ▲□ >

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 → のへで

New Matrix model constructed and emergent fluctuating geometry studied. Spacetime satisfying Snyder algebra is classical solution. Spacetime again is emergent concept-background independence.

- New Matrix model constructed and emergent fluctuating geometry studied. Spacetime satisfying Snyder algebra is classical solution. Spacetime again is emergent concept-background independence.
- The correspondence between NC *-algebra and,generalized vector fields extended to mass deformed IKKT model to get constant curvature space. Fluctuation about vacuum geometry is NC massive gauge theory.

- New Matrix model constructed and emergent fluctuating geometry studied. Spacetime satisfying Snyder algebra is classical solution. Spacetime again is emergent concept-background independence.
- The correspondence between NC *-algebra and,generalized vector fields extended to mass deformed IKKT model to get constant curvature space. Fluctuation about vacuum geometry is NC massive gauge theory.

Einstein gravity with CC from massive gauge theory?

- New Matrix model constructed and emergent fluctuating geometry studied. Spacetime satisfying Snyder algebra is classical solution. Spacetime again is emergent concept-background independence.
- The correspondence between NC *-algebra and,generalized vector fields extended to mass deformed IKKT model to get constant curvature space. Fluctuation about vacuum geometry is NC massive gauge theory.
- Einstein gravity with CC from massive gauge theory?
- ▶ Nonlinear Snyder algebra? $S_G = Tr(\frac{1}{4}M_{ab}M^{ab} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}M_{ab}[X^a, X^b] + \frac{1}{2\kappa}G(X))$. This has as eqn of motion: $[M^{ab}, X_b] + \left[\frac{\partial G(X)}{\partial X_a}\right] = 0$ If G(X) is given $[M^{ab}, M^{cd}]$ can be calculated by applying the Jacobi identity.

- New Matrix model constructed and emergent fluctuating geometry studied. Spacetime satisfying Snyder algebra is classical solution. Spacetime again is emergent concept-background independence.
- The correspondence between NC *-algebra and,generalized vector fields extended to mass deformed IKKT model to get constant curvature space. Fluctuation about vacuum geometry is NC massive gauge theory.
- Einstein gravity with CC from massive gauge theory?
- Nonlinear Snyder algebra? S_G = Tr(¹/₄M_{ab}M^{ab} - ¹/_{2κ}M_{ab}[X^a, X^b] + ¹/_{2κ}G(X)). This has as eqn of motion:[M^{ab}, X_b] + [^{∂G(X)}/_{∂X_a}] = 0 If G(X) is given [M^{ab}, M^{cd}] can be calculated by applying the Jacobi identity.
 G(X) is quadratic- > usual Snyder algebra - > Constant curvature.If G(X) cubic and above - >what geometry?

Matrix model →NC spacetime→NC algebra fluctuating coordinates-¿ NC U(1) gauge field→ fluctuating geometry.

- Matrix model →NC spacetime→NC algebra fluctuating coordinates-¿ NC U(1) gauge field→ fluctuating geometry.
- Pure gauge fluctuation is Killing symmetry of vacuum geometry
- Dynamics of NCU(1) gauge field → dynamics of gravity Opposite to KK!

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Matrix model →NC spacetime→NC algebra fluctuating coordinates-¿ NC U(1) gauge field→ fluctuating geometry.
- Pure gauge fluctuation is Killing symmetry of vacuum geometry
- ► Dynamics of NCU(1) gauge field → dynamics of gravity Opposite to KK!
- ► A link between symplective geometry and Riemann geometry! Symmetries of Matrix model→ symmetries of NC spacetime → symmetries of general relativity

- Matrix model →NC spacetime→NC algebra fluctuating coordinates-¿ NC U(1) gauge field→ fluctuating geometry.
- Pure gauge fluctuation is Killing symmetry of vacuum geometry
- ► Dynamics of NCU(1) gauge field → dynamics of gravity Opposite to KK!
- ► A link between symplective geometry and Riemann geometry! Symmetries of Matrix model→ symmetries of NC spacetime → symmetries of general relativity

- Matrix model →NC spacetime→NC algebra fluctuating coordinates-¿ NC U(1) gauge field→ fluctuating geometry.
- Pure gauge fluctuation is Killing symmetry of vacuum geometry
- ► Dynamics of NCU(1) gauge field → dynamics of gravity Opposite to KK!
- ► A link between symplective geometry and Riemann geometry! Symmetries of Matrix model→ symmetries of NC spacetime → symmetries of general relativity

Emergent time ?Emergent matter?

- Matrix model →NC spacetime→NC algebra fluctuating coordinates-¿ NC U(1) gauge field→ fluctuating geometry.
- Pure gauge fluctuation is Killing symmetry of vacuum geometry
- ► Dynamics of NCU(1) gauge field → dynamics of gravity Opposite to KK!
- ► A link between symplective geometry and Riemann geometry! Symmetries of Matrix model→ symmetries of NC spacetime → symmetries of general relativity

- Emergent time ?Emergent matter?
- THANKS!