# Петербургский электротехнический университет "ЛЭТИ" <br> Факультет электроники 

## The World of Quantum Information



## Marianna Safronova <br> Department of Physics and Astronomy

May 22, 2012


## Outline

$>$ Quantum Information: fundamental principles (and how it is different from the classical one).
> Bits \& Qubits
$>$ Quantum weirdness: entanglement, superposition \& measurement
$>$ Logic gates \& Quantum circuits
$>$ Cryptography \& quantum information
$>$ A brief introduction to quantum computing
$>$ Real world: what do we need to build a quantum computer/quantum network?
$>$ Current status \& future roadmap

## Why quantum information?

Information is physical!
Any processing of information is always performed by physical means

Bits of information obey laws of classical physics.
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## Why Quantum Computers?



Computer technology is making devices smaller and smaller...
...reaching a point where classical physics is no longer a suitable model for the laws of physics.

## Bits \& Qubits



Fundamental building blocks of classical computers:

BITS


Fundamental building blocks of quantum computers:

Quantum bits

## or QUBITS

Basis states: $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$
Superposition:

$$
|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle
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Fundamental building blocks of classical computers:

BITS


Fundamental building blocks of quantum computers:

Quantum bits

> or QUBITS

Basis states: $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$

$$
\left|\mathbf{\phi}^{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle+|\hat{\phi}\rangle
$$

## Qubit: any suitable two-level quantum system

$$
\left|\phi^{\phi}\right\rangle+|\dot{\phi}\rangle
$$


single trapped atom:


## Bits \& Qubits: primary differences
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## Bits \& Qubits: primary differences

Measurement

- Classical bit: we can find out if it is in state 0 or 1 and the measurement will not change the state of the bit.
- Qubit: Quantum calculation: number of parallel processes due to superposition
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>Superposition

$$
|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle
$$

> Measurement

- Classical bit: we can find out if it is in state 0 or 1 and the measurement will not change the state of the bit.
- Qubit: we cannot just measure $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and thus determine its state! We get either $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ with corresponding probabilities $|\alpha|^{2}$ and $|\beta|^{2}$.

$$
|\alpha|^{2}+|\beta|^{2}=1
$$

- The measurement changes the state of the qubit!


## Multiple qubits

Classical Bit
Quantum Bit
0 or 1 0 or 1 or 01

Classical register
Quantum register

101


## Hilbert space is a big place!

## Multiple qubits

- Two bits with states 0 and 1 form four definite states 00, 01, 10, and 11.
- Two qubits: can be in superposition of four computational basis set states.

$$
|\psi\rangle=\alpha|00\rangle+\beta|01\rangle+\gamma|10\rangle+\delta|11\rangle
$$

| 2 qubits | 4 amplitudes |
| ---: | ---: |
| 3 qubits | 8 amplitudes |
| 10 qubits | 1024 amplitudes |
| 20 qubits | 1048576 amplitudes |
| 30 qubits | 1073741824 amplitudes |

500 qubits More amplitudes than our estimate of number of atoms in the Universe!!!

## Entanglement



$$
|\psi\rangle \neq|\alpha\rangle \otimes|\beta\rangle \longrightarrow
$$

## Entangled states

## Quantum cryptography

## Classical cryptography

Scytale - the first known mechanical device to implement permutation of characters for cryptographic purposes

## Classical cryptography

## Private key cryptography



How to securely transmit a private key?

## Key distribution

A central problem in cryptography: the key distribution problem.

1) Mathematics solution: public key cryptography.
2) Physics solution: quantum cryptography.

Public-key cryptography relies on the computational difficulty of certain hard mathematical problems (computational security)
Quantum cryptography relies on the laws of quantum mechanics (information-theoretical security).

## Quantum key distribution

- Quantum mechanics: quantum bits cannot be copied or monitored.
- Any attempt to do so will result in altering it that can not be corrected.
- Problems
- Authentication
- Noisy channels


## Quantum logic gates

## Logic gates

Classical NOT gate


The only non-trivial single bit gate

Quantum NOT gate
(X gate)

$$
\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle-\mathrm{X}-\alpha|1\rangle+\beta|0\rangle
$$

Matrix form representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& X\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\beta \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## More single qubit gates

Any unitary matrix $U$ will produce a quantum gate!

$$
Z=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hadamard gate:

$$
H=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle-\mathrm{H}-\alpha \frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}+\beta \frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

## Single qubit gates, two-qubit gates, three-qubit gates ...

- How many gates do we need to make?
- Do we need three-qubit and four-qubit gates?
- Where do we find such physical interactions?
- Coming up with one suitable controlled interaction for physical system is already a problem!


## Universality: classical computation

Only one classical gate (NAND) is needed to compute any function on bits!


| A | B | A And $B$ | A nand B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## Universality: quantum computation

How many quantum gates do we need to build any quantum gate?

Any n-qubit gate can be made from 2-qubit gates.
(Since any unitary nxn matrix can be decomposed to product of two-level matrices.)

Only one two-qubit gate is needed!

Example: CNOT gate

## Universal set of gates

| CNOT |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\|A\rangle-\square\|A\rangle$ |  |
| B) - |  |
| \|AB ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\left\|A B^{\prime}\right\rangle$ |
| \|00) | \|00) |
| \|01) | \|01) |
| \|10) | \|11) |
| \|11> | $\|10\rangle$ |

## Hadamard gate:

$$
|A\rangle-H-\left|A^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|c||c|}
\hline|A\rangle & \left|A^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\hline|0\rangle & \frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\hline|1\rangle & \frac{|0\rangle-11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
H=(X+Z) / \sqrt{2}
$$


$T=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i \pi / 4}\end{array}\right]$
Phase gate S :

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
S=T^{2}
$$

## From gates to circuits

## Example: swap circuit



Differences with classical circuits

- No loops - no feedback from one part of circuit to another.
- No wires joined together since it is not reversible.
- No "copy a qubit" operation (forbidden by quantum mechanics).


## Quantum parallelism



## Quantum parallelism

$$
f(x):\{0,1\} \rightarrow\{0,1\} \quad|x, y\rangle \xrightarrow{U_{f}}|x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle
$$



Single circuit just evaluated $f(x)$ for both $x=0$ and 1 simultaneously!

## Quantum parallelism: a major problem

- So we can evaluate functions for all values of $x$ at the same time using just one circuit!
- Need only $n+1$ qubits to evaluate $2^{n}$ values of $x$.
- But we still get only one answer when we measure the result: it collapses to $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})!!!$



## Quantum algorithms

## Unique features of quantum computation

- Superposition: n qubits can represent $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ integers.
- Problem: if we read the outcome we lose the superposition and we can't know with certainty which one of the values we will obtain.
- Entanglement: measurements of states of different qubits may be highly correlated.


## Current advantages of quantum computation

- Shor's quantum Fourier transform provides exponential speedup over known classical algorithms.
- Applications: solving discrete logarithm and factoring problems which enables a quantum computer to break public key cryptosystems such as RSA.
- Quantum searching (Grover's algorithm) allows quadratic speedup over classical computers.
- Simulations of quantum systems.


## How to factor 15 ?

- Pick a number less then 15: 7
- Calculate $7^{\mathrm{n}} \bmod 15$ :

| $n$ | $7^{n}$ | $15 \times A$ | $7^{n} \bmod 15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
| 2 | 49 | 45 | 4 |
| 3 | 343 | 330 | 13 |
| 4 | 2401 | 2400 | 1 |

$R=4$

- Calculate $\operatorname{gcd}\left\{7^{R / 2} \pm 1,15\right\}$
- $\operatorname{gcd}\{48,15\}=3, \operatorname{gcd}\{50,15\}=5$


## Shor's algorithm for N=15

- Choose $n$ such as $2^{\mathrm{n}}<15$ : $\mathrm{n}=4$
- Choose y: y=7
- Initialize two four-qubit register $\left|\psi_{0}\right\rangle=|0000\rangle|0000\rangle$
- Create a superposition of states of the first register
- Compute the function $f(k)=7^{k}$ mod 15 on the second register.
- Operate on the first register by a Fourier transform
- Measure the state of the first register: $u=0,4,8,12$ are only non-zero results.
- Two cases give period $\mathrm{R}=4$, therefore the procedure succeeds with probability $1 / 2$ after one run.


## Back to the real world:

## What do we need to build a quantum computer?

- Qubits which retain their properties. Scalable array of qubits.
- Initialization: ability to prepare one certain state repeatedly on demand. Need continuous supply of $|0\rangle$.
- Universal set of quantum gates. A system in which qubits can be made to evolve as desired.
- Long relevant decoherence times.
- Ability to efficiently read out the result.

The Mid-Level Quantum Computation Roadmap: Promise Criteria

| QC Approach | The DiVincenzo Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantum Computation |  |  |  |  | QC Networkability |  |
|  | \#1 | \#2 | \#3 | \#4 | \#5 | \#6 | \#7 |
| NMR | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | (1) |
| Trapped lon | 6 | 8 | 6 | Q | $\theta$ | 6 | 6 |
| Neutral Atom | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Cavity QED | 60 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Optical | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Solid State | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | $\otimes$ | 0 | 0 |
| Superconducting | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | (0) | (1) |
| Unique Qubits | This field is so diverse that it is not feasible to label the criteria with "Promise" symbols. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Legend: = a potentially viable approach has achieved sufficient proof of principle
$\mathscr{Q}$ = a potentially viable approach has been proposed, but there has not been sufficient proof of principle
(1) no no viable approach is known

