Controllable tuning of spin-singlet and spin-triplet currents in a Josephson spin-valve

AlbaNova

FYSIK ASTRONOMI BIOTEKNIK

Vladimir Krasnov



Experimental Condensed Matter Physics Department of Physics, AlbaNova University Center, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

> Special thanks to: Adrian lovan and Taras Golod

2 september 2015, MISIS, Moscow

Outline:

Introduction: Spin-triplet and long-range proximity effect in S/F hybrids How to distinguish wishful thinking from reality : overview of technical difficulties and artifacts

How to make a decisive experiment :

- Need controllable tuning of the spin-triplet component
- Need mono-domain = nano-scale structure

Experiment with a simplest possible case a single asymmetric Josephson spin-valve $S/F_1/F_2/S$

In-situ characterization using an ordinary spin-singlet current and spin-valve MR

Deciphering the data using micromagnetic simulations

Evidence for controllable generation of a spin-triplet component

Proximity effect: S/N vs. S/F



$$\Psi(x) = \Psi_0 \exp(-x/\xi_N)$$

- A. I. Buzdin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 935 (2005).
- F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Efetov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 1321 (2005).
- Ya. V. Fominov, A. A. Golubov, and M. Yu. Kupriyanov, JETP Lett. **77**, 510 (2003).

$$\Psi(x) = \Psi_0 \cos(x/\xi_F) \exp(-x/\xi_F)$$

- Ya. M. Blanter and F. W. J. Hekking, Phys. Rev. B 69, 024525 (2004).
- J. Kopu, M. Eschrig, J. C. Cuevas, and M. Fogelström, Phys. Rev. B 69, 094501 (2004).
- M. Houzet and A. I. Buzdin, Phys. Rev. B 76, 060504 (2007).
 Y. Asano, Y. Sawa, Y. Tanaka, and A. A. Golubov, Phys. Rev. B 76, 224525 (2007).

Generation of odd-frequency spin triplet component of superconducting condensate S lc singlet 0.5 0.5 long-range triplet $\pi/2$ $\pi/4$ S F" S α $x/d_{\rm S}^3$ F' A.F. Volkov, F.S. Bergeret and K.B. Efetov, A. Kadigrobov, R. I. Shekhter, and M. PRL 90, 117006 (2003); Jonson, Europhys. Lett. 54, 394 2001; F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Efetov, F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Rev. Mod. Phys 77, 1321 (2005). Efetov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4096 2001. d₁+d L 0 d_L y

Houzet-Buzdin, PRB, 76, 060504 (2007)

Long-range proximity effect: is it an evidence of spin-triplet state?

Could also be a singlet state (Clean case) A. S. Mel'nikov, et al., PRL 109, 237006 (2012).

Experimental observations: Strong Ferromagnets

Ni / Al ~ 2000 nm !? V. T. Petrashov, et al., PRL 83, 3281 (1999)

J. Aumentado and V. Chandrasekhar, PRB 64, 054505 (2001)

"The ferromagnet itself shows no appreciable superconducting proximity effect, but the ferromagnet/superconductor interface exhibits strong temperature, field, and current bias dependences. These effects are dependent on the local magnetic field distribution near the interface arising from the ferromagnet."

W / Co / W ~ 600 nm supercurrent

r = 20nm, $J_c \sim 10^6$ A/cm² !?

"The critical current (I_c) at zero field at 1.8 K is comparable to that observed in single-crystal 40-nmdiameter superconducting Sn nanowires."

J. Wang et al, Nature Phys. 10, 389 (2010)







Critical current density through a diluted ferromagnet Cu_{0.47}Ni_{0.53}



Where from come J_c in excess of 10⁵ in strong F and half metals with d > 100 nm ?

Is it indeed spin triplet or dead-layers (planar j's) or pin-holes (overlap j's)?

Where from comes non-collinear magnetization in a single F?

Strong temperature dependence of the critical current



Dead (N) layers at interfaces (V.A. Oboznov et al., PRL 96, 197003 (2006)) or spin-triplet ?

Inhomogeneities: identification of microshots



Inhomogeneities are clearly seen in Fraunhofer patterns

Inhomogeneities: detrimental role of domains



A. lovan et al., PRB 90, 134514 (2014)

Need nano-scale monodomain structures

From SNS to SFS Nb - PtNi - Nb



Х

Large critical current variations in SFS junctions



Inhomogeneity or 0-pi transitions ?

Analysis of the high I_c state



Looks remarkably homogeneous

To prove the existence of spin-triplet – need to be able to control it



Motivation:

S F1 F2 S – the simplest (but non-optimal) structure for controllable generation of spin-triplet

Need different F1, F2 (L. Trifunovic, et al., PRB 84, 064511 (2011)) amplitude ~ F1-F2

Need mono-domain nano-structures











In-situ analysis of spin-valve magnetization : Absolute fluxometer



In-situ controlling of the spin-valve state



Micromagnetic calculations of spin-valve characteristics

Total

500

Mono-domain (scissors) case





Poly-domain case





Influence of the orthogonal magnetization component (scissors case)



Search for a second non-collinear state Proximity effect in a spacer layer



Origin of the observed asymmetry



A case of strong ferromagnets Nb Ni (7nm) Cu (10nm) Ni (5nm) Nb



Summary:

Due to many technical difficulties and artifacts a decisive experiment :

- Need controllable tuning of the spin-triplet component
- Need mono-domain = nano-scale structure
- Deciphering the data using micromagnetic simulations

Experiment for the simplest case of a single asymmetric Josephson spin-valve $S/F_1/F_2/S$

In-situ characterization using an ordinary spinsinglet current and spin-valve MR

Evidence for controllable generation of a spintriplet component

