# Cluster algebras and subtraction-free computations (joint work with S. Fomin, G. Koshevoy)

Dima Grigoriev (Lille)

**CNRS** 

16/04/2014, St.Petersbourg

Let  $M \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$ . Complexity  $C_M(f)$  for a rational function  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is defined as the minimal number of operations from M necessary to compute f, provided it is finite.

**Question**. For given  $M \subset M_1 \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$  how big can be  $C_M(f)$  in comparison with  $C_{M_1}(f)$ ?

This question is non-trivial just for three pairs of  $M \subset M_1$ .

#### **Theorem**

 $C_{+,-,\times}(f) \leq O(C_{+,-,\times,/}(f) \cdot \deg(f))$  for a polynomial f (V. Strassen, 1973)

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,-,\times}(\det) < O(n^4)$ 



Let  $M \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$ . Complexity  $C_M(f)$  for a rational function  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is defined as the minimal number of operations from M necessary to compute f, provided it is finite.

**Question**. For given  $M \subset M_1 \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$  how big can be  $C_M(f)$  in comparison with  $C_{M_1}(f)$ ?

This question is non-trivial just for three pairs of  $M \subset M_1$ .

#### Theorem

 $C_{+,-,\times}(f) \leq O(C_{+,-,\times,/}(f) \cdot \deg(f))$  for a polynomial f (V. Strassen, 1973)

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,-,\times}(\det) \leq O(n^4)$ 

Let  $M \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$ . Complexity  $C_M(f)$  for a rational function  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is defined as the minimal number of operations from M necessary to compute f, provided it is finite.

**Question**. For given  $M \subset M_1 \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$  how big can be  $C_M(f)$  in comparison with  $C_{M_1}(f)$ ?

This question is non-trivial just for three pairs of  $M \subset M_1$ .

#### Theorem

 $C_{+,-,\times}(f) \leq O(C_{+,-,\times,/}(f) \cdot \deg(f))$  for a polynomial f (V. Strassen, 1973)

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,-,\times}(\det) \leq O(n^4)$ 

Let  $M \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$ . Complexity  $C_M(f)$  for a rational function  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is defined as the minimal number of operations from M necessary to compute f, provided it is finite.

**Question**. For given  $M \subset M_1 \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$  how big can be  $C_M(f)$  in comparison with  $C_{M_1}(f)$ ?

This question is non-trivial just for three pairs of  $M \subset M_1$ .

#### Theorem

 $C_{+,-,\times}(f) \leq O(C_{+,-,\times,/}(f) \cdot \deg(f))$  for a polynomial f (V. Strassen, 1973)

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,-,\times}(\det) < O(n^4)$ 

Let  $M \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$ . Complexity  $C_M(f)$  for a rational function  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is defined as the minimal number of operations from M necessary to compute f, provided it is finite.

**Question**. For given  $M \subset M_1 \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$  how big can be  $C_M(f)$  in comparison with  $C_{M_1}(f)$ ?

This question is non-trivial just for three pairs of  $M \subset M_1$ .

#### **Theorem**

$$C_{+,-,\times}(f) \leq O(C_{+,-,\times,/}(f) \cdot \deg(f))$$
 for a polynomial  $f$  (V. Strassen, 1973)

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,-,\times}(\det) \leq O(n^4)$ 



Let  $M \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$ . Complexity  $C_M(f)$  for a rational function  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is defined as the minimal number of operations from M necessary to compute f, provided it is finite.

**Question**. For given  $M \subset M_1 \subset \{+, -, \times, /\}$  how big can be  $C_M(f)$  in comparison with  $C_{M_1}(f)$ ?

This question is non-trivial just for three pairs of  $M \subset M_1$ .

#### **Theorem**

$$C_{+,-,\times}(f) \leq O(C_{+,-,\times,/}(f) \cdot \deg(f))$$
 for a polynomial  $f$  (V. Strassen, 1973)

#### **Corollary**

$$C_{+,-,\times}(\det) \leq O(n^4).$$



#### We show that

- $C_{+,\times}(f)$  can be exponential bigger than  $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$ ;
- $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$  can be exponentially bigger than  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(f)$ .

Subtraction-free computations have a meaning in numerical analysis: if a computation deals with positive numbers c given with a relative error, i. e.  $(1 - \epsilon) \cdot b < c < (1 + \epsilon) \cdot b$  where  $\epsilon$  is a relative error then one can estimate easily relative error after operations +,  $\times$ , /.

#### We show that

- $C_{+,\times}(f)$  can be exponential bigger than  $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$ ;
- $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$  can be exponentially bigger than  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(f)$ .

Subtraction-free computations have a meaning in numerical analysis: if a computation deals with positive numbers c given with a relative error, i. e.  $(1-\epsilon)\cdot b < c < (1+\epsilon)\cdot b$  where  $\epsilon$  is a relative error then one can estimate easily relative error after operations  $+, \times, /$ .

#### We show that

- $C_{+,\times}(f)$  can be exponential bigger than  $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$ ;
- $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$  can be exponentially bigger than  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(f)$ .

Subtraction-free computations have a meaning in numerical analysis: if a computation deals with positive numbers c given with a relative error, i. e.  $(1-\epsilon)\cdot b < c < (1+\epsilon)\cdot b$  where  $\epsilon$  is a relative error then one can estimate easily relative error after operations  $+, \times, /$ .

#### We show that

- $C_{+,\times}(f)$  can be exponential bigger than  $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$ ;
- $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$  can be exponentially bigger than  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(f)$ .

Subtraction-free computations have a meaning in numerical analysis:

if a computation deals with positive numbers c given with a relative error, i. e.  $(1 - \epsilon) \cdot b < c < (1 + \epsilon) \cdot b$  where  $\epsilon$  is a relative error then one can estimate easily relative error after operations +,  $\times$ , /.

#### We show that

- $C_{+,\times}(f)$  can be exponential bigger than  $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$ ;
- $C_{+,\times,/}(f)$  can be exponentially bigger than  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(f)$ .

Subtraction-free computations have a meaning in numerical analysis: if a computation deals with positive numbers c given with a relative error, i. e.  $(1 - \epsilon) \cdot b < c < (1 + \epsilon) \cdot b$  where  $\epsilon$  is a relative error then one can estimate easily relative error after operations  $+, \times, /$ .

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (**Fomin-Zelevinsky**).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the flag algebra  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (**Fomin-Zelevinsky**).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the flag algebra  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (**Fomin-Zelevinsky**).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (**Fomin-Zelevinsky**).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are strongly separated if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (Fomin-Zelevinsky).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J, j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are strongly separated if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (Fomin-Zelevinsky).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (Fomin-Zelevinsky).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (Fomin-Zelevinsky).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (**Fomin-Zelevinsky**).

Consider  $n \times n$  matrix  $X = (X_{ij})$  with variable entries. For a set  $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  of size |I| = k denote by  $\Delta_I$  the determinant of  $k \times k$  submatrix of X formed by first k rows and by columns  $i \in I$ . Then the **flag algebra**  $F[\{\Delta_I\}_I]$  is the ring of regular functions on the flag variety.

**Clusters** are special families of flag minors  $\Delta_I$  (being bases of the flag algebra). To describe clusters define relation  $I \prec J$  for  $I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$  if for any pair  $i \in I \setminus J$ ,  $j \in J \setminus I$  we have i < j. We say that I, J are **strongly separated** if either  $I \prec J$  or  $J \prec I$ . Cluster is a maximal (wrt inclusion) family of pairwise strongly separated flag minors (excluding  $I = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ ). Each cluster contains n(n+1)/2 - 1 flag minors (**Fomin-Zelevinsky**).

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, \ i, j, k \notin I; \ i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\{\Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\} \{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

#### Plücker relations: $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\{\Delta_{lik}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$$
  
 $\{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$ 

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, \ i, j, k \notin I; \ i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

```
\{\Delta_{lik}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\} 
\{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}
```

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, \ i, j, k \notin I; \ i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\{\Delta_{lik}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}; \{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lik}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \}; \\ & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lj}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lik}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \}; \\ & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lj}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of I, ..., I and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lik}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \}; \\ & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lj}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\{\Delta_{lik}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}; \\ \{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\{\Delta_{lik}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}; \\ \{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lik}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \}; \\ & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lj}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lik}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \}; \\ & \{ \Delta_{\textit{lj}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lij}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{lk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{ljk}}, \, \Delta_{\textit{li}}, \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\{\Delta_{lik}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}; \{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

**Fomin-Zelevinsky**: any non-minimal cluster contains a 5-tuple  $\Delta_{lik}$ ,  $\Delta_{lij}$ ,  $\Delta_{lk}$ ,  $\Delta_{ljk}$ ,  $\Delta_{li}$ , therefore, a flip can be applied to the cluster. Any flag minor belongs to some cluster. Thus, any flag minor can be computed with  $O(n^3)$  flips starting with the interval flag minors, and a flag minor is a Laurent polynomial in the interval flag minors with

nteger positive coefficients.

Plücker relations:  $\Delta_{lik} \cdot \Delta_{lj} = \Delta_{lij} \cdot \Delta_{lk} + \Delta_{ljk} \cdot \Delta_{li}, i, j, k \notin I; i < j < k$ 

Clusters form a directed acyclic **cluster graph**: there is an edge from one cluster to another if they are, respectively, of the types

$$\{\Delta_{lik}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}; \\ \{\Delta_{lj}, \Delta_{lij}, \Delta_{lk}, \Delta_{ljk}, \Delta_{li}, \dots\}$$

Cluster graph is graded according to the sum of sizes |I|. A birational (with integer positive coefficients) transformation from one cluster to another (along an edge or back) is called a **flip**. Cluster graph has the unique minimal element corresponding to I being all the proper intervals of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  and the unique maximal element corresponding to all the complements of the proper intervals.

#### **Totally positive matrices**

As a consequence from cluster transformations we conclude that for a real matrix if all the interval flag minors are positive then all flag minors are positive as well.

A real matrix is called *totally positive* if all its minors are positive. Cluster transformation entail that for total positivity it suffices to verify positivity of minors formed by sets of rows I and of columns J for all pairs of intervals I,  $J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

#### **Totally positive matrices**

As a consequence from cluster transformations we conclude that for a real matrix if all the interval flag minors are positive then all flag minors are positive as well.

A real matrix is called *totally positive* if all its minors are positive.

Cluster transformation entail that for total positivity it suffices to verify positivity of minors formed by sets of rows I and of columns J for all pairs of intervals I,  $J \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ .

#### **Totally positive matrices**

As a consequence from cluster transformations we conclude that for a real matrix if all the interval flag minors are positive then all flag minors are positive as well.

A real matrix is called *totally positive* if all its minors are positive. Cluster transformation entail that for total positivity it suffices to verify positivity of minors formed by sets of rows I and of columns J for all pairs of intervals I,  $J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_l = \Delta_l/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^I$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+, \times, /}(S_l) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .



Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then

 $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,\dots,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^i$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+, \times, /}(S_l) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .

Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then

 $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #*P*-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^I$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial

$$C_{+,\times,/}(S_l) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$$

Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^I$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+, \times, /}(S_l) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .

Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^j$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+, \times, /}(S_l) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .



Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^I$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+, \times, /}(S_l) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .



Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^i$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+\times I}(S_I) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .



Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^i$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+,\times,/}(S_I) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .

Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,\dots,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^i$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+,\times,/}(S_I) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .

This does not yet imply an exponential gap between  $C_{+,\times,/}$  and  $C_{+,\times}$  because we don't know a lower bound on the complexity  $C_{+,\times}(S_l)$ . To establish this gap we proceed to another class of cluster.

transformations.

Substitute for the entries of the matrix  $X_{ij} = x_i^j$ . Then  $\Delta := \Delta_{\{1,...,k\}} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (x_j - x_i)$  is Vandermond determinant. The quotient  $S_I = \Delta_I/\Delta$  is a **Schur polynomial** having integer positive coefficients (called **Kostka numbers** being #P-hard to compute).

Since for an interval I = [i, i+1, ..., j] the interval Schur polynomial is the monomial  $S_I = (x_1 \cdots x_{j-i+1})^i$  being easy to compute, we get

#### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity of a Schur polynomial  $C_{+,\times,/}(S_I) \leq O(n^3 \cdot \log n)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ .

For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ 

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_k (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

### Corollary

Subtraction-free complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(cond_{i,j}(G), f(G)) \leq O(n^3)$ 

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,i}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ . For vertices v, w of *G* denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from *G* by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_k (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_{k} (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example:** for G with 3 vertices  $r(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,i}$  For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_{k} (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$  For vertices v, w of *G* denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from *G* by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

Kirchhoff (1847):  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_{k} (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ .

For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ 

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_{k} (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ . For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ 

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_{k} (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ . For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_k (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ . For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_{k} (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ . For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_k (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ . For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_k (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

Let edges of a complete graph G with n vertices (viewed as an electrical circuit) be endowed with **conductances**  $x_{i,j}$ . Denote by  $cond_{i,j}(G)$  the conductance of the circuit between vertices i,j.

For a spanning tree T of G denote by  $X^T$  the monomial being the product of  $x_{i,j}$  for all edges i,j of T. The generating polynomial f(G) is the sum of the monomials  $X^T$  over all spanning trees T.

**Example**: for *G* with 3 vertices  $f(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{2,3} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{1,3} + x_{2,3} \cdot x_{1,3}$ .

For vertices v, w of G denote by  $G_{v,w}$  the graph obtained from G by gluing v, w into a vertex u with new conductances  $x_{i,u} := x_{i,v} + x_{i,w}$ .

**Kirchhoff (1847)**:  $cond_{i,j}(G) = f(G)/f(G_{i,j})$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq i$ , j of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each conductance  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{q,v} \cdot \sum_k (x_{k,v})^{-1}$ . Then  $cond_{i,j}(G) = cond_{i,j}(G_v)$ .

#### **Corollary**

Subtraction-free complexity  $C_{+,\times,/}(cond_{i,j}(G), f(G)) \leq O(n^3)$ .

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called arborescences). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i,j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

### Corollary

$$C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$$

**Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir**:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c > 1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called *arborescences*). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i,j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

#### Corollary

$$C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$$

**Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir**:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c > 1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called *arborescences*). Then the monomial

 $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomia  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ 

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,\, imes,\,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$ 

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c>1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called *arborescences*). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ 

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

Corollary

 $C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$ 

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c > 1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called *arborescences*). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ 

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

Corollary

 $C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$ 

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \ge c^n$  for some c > 1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called *arborescences*). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

Corollary

 $C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$ 

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c > 1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called arborescences). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

Corollary

 $C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$ 

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c > 1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called arborescences). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

## Corollary

$$C_{+,\, imes,\,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$$

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c>1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called arborescences). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

## Corollary

$$C_{+,\, imes,\,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3)$$

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c>1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called arborescences). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

### **Corollary**

$$C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3).$$

Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c > 1.

Now G is a complete graph with n vertices and edges i, j endowed with two variables  $x_{i,j} \neq x_{j,i}$ . Fix a vertex r of G and consider any spanning tree T of G as having its root at r and all its edges directed towards r (such directed trees are called arborescences). Then the monomial  $X^T$  corresponding to this tree is the product of all  $x_{i,j}$  over all edges i, j of T according to the chosen direction of T. The generating polynomial  $\phi(G)$  of arborescences is the sum of all the monomials  $X^T$ .

**Example**: if n = 3, r = 2 then  $\phi(G) = x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,2} + x_{1,2} \cdot x_{3,1} + x_{1,3} \cdot x_{3,2}$ .

**Star-mesh transformation**: let a vertex  $v \neq r$  of G. Denote by  $G_v$  a graph obtained from G by removing v and replacing each  $x_{p,q}$  by  $x_{p,q} + x_{p,v} \cdot x_{v,q} \cdot (\sum_k x_{v,k})^{-1}$ . Then  $\phi(G) = \sum_k x_{v,k} \cdot \phi(G_v)$ .

#### Corollary

$$C_{+,\times,/}(\phi(G)) \leq O(n^3).$$

**Schnorr-Valiant-Jerrum-Snir**:  $C_{+,\times}(\phi(G)) \geq c^n$  for some c > 1.

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere or the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

#### Corollary

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,\times,/}(g_n) > 2^n$  (evidently,  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(g_n) < O(n)$ ).

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere on the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

#### Corollary

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

#### Corollary

 $C_{+, \times, /}(g_n) > 2^n$  (evidently,  $C_{+, -, \times, /}(g_n) < O(n)$ ).

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere on the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

#### Corollary

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

#### Corollary

 $C_{+, \times, /}(g_n) > 2^n$  (evidently,  $C_{+, -, \times, /}(g_n) < O(n)$ ).

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere on the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

#### Corollary

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,\, imes,\,/}(g_{\mathsf{n}}) > 2^{\mathsf{n}}$  (evidently,  $C_{+,\,-,\, imes,\,/}(g_{\mathsf{n}}) < O(n)$ ).

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere on the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

### **Corollary**

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

#### Corollary

 $C_{+,\times,/}(g_n) > 2^n$  (evidently,  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(g_n) < O(n)$ ).

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere on the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

### **Corollary**

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

Corollary

 $C_{+,\times,/}(g_n) > 2^n$  (evidently,  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(g_n) < O(n)$ )

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere on the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

### **Corollary**

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

$$C_{+,\times,/}(g_n) > 2^n$$
 (evidently,  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(g_n) < 0$ 

**Polya (1923)**: any polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  positive everywhere on the positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  can be represented as a fraction  $P/(1+x_1+\dots+x_n)^N$  for suitable integer N and a polynomial P with positive coefficients.

**Example**:  $x^2 - x + 1 = (x^3 + 1)/(x + 1)$ .

### **Corollary**

For a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its complexity  $C_{+, \times, /}(h)$  is finite iff h is positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ .

#### Lemma

For any representation  $g_n := (1 - x_1)^2 + (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 + (x_2^2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3^2 - x_4)^2 + \dots + (x_{n-1}^2 - x_n)^2 + 4x_n^2 \cdot x_1 = P/Q$  with P, Q being polynomials with positive coefficients we have  $\deg(Q) > 2^{2^n}$ .

$$C_{+,\times,/}(g_n) > 2^n$$
 (evidently,  $C_{+,-,\times,/}(g_n) < O(n)$ ).