## Coexistence of Ferromagnetism and Superconductivity

## A. Buzdin

Institut Universitaire de France, Paris

and Condensed Matter Theory Group, University of Bordeaux













Physics Department, Moscow State University, March 15, 2013



- Recall on magnetism and superconductivity coexistence
- Origin and the main peculiarities of the proximity effect in superconductor-ferromagnet systems.
- Josephson TT-junction.
- Domain wall superconductivity. Spin-valve effet.
- φ-junctions.
- Possible applications

## Supraconductivity





1913

Since the discovery by Heike Kamerlingh Onnes 6 Nobel Prizes. Many important applications.







## Magnetism

4 Nobel Prizes.

#### Recent Nobel Prize : A. Fert and P.Grunberg















3

2007

## Is it possible to couple magnetism and superconductivity?

## Superconducting Cooper pairs







The critical temperature variation versus the concentration n of the Gd atoms in  $La_{1-x}Gd_xAl_2$  alloys (Maple, 1968).  $T_{c0}$ =3.24 K and  $n_{cr}$ =0.590 atomic percent Gd.

The earlier experiments (Matthias *et al.*, 1958) demonstrated that the presence of the magnetic atoms is very harmful for superconductivity.

Antagonism of magnetism (ferromagnetism) and superconductivity

## Orbital effect (Lorentz force)



Electromagnetic mechanism (breakdown of Cooper pairs by magnetic field induced by magnetic moment)

• Paramagnetic effect (singlet pair)







**Exchange** interaction

## No antagonism between antiferromagnetism and superconductivity

|                                    | T <sub>c</sub> (K) | T <sub>N</sub> (K) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                    |                    |                    |
| $NdRh_4B_4$                        | 5.3                | 1.31               |
| SmRh <sub>4</sub> B <sub>4</sub>   | 2.7                | 0.87               |
| TmRh <sub>4</sub> B <sub>4</sub>   | 9.8                | 0.4                |
| GdMo <sub>6</sub> S <sub>8</sub>   | 1.4                | 0.84               |
| TbMo <sub>6</sub> S <sub>8</sub>   | 2.05               | 1.05               |
| DyMo <sub>6</sub> S <sub>8</sub>   | 2.05               | 0.4                |
| ErMo <sub>6</sub> S <sub>8</sub>   | 2.2                | 0.2                |
| GdMo <sub>6</sub> Se <sub>8</sub>  | 5.6                | 0.75               |
| ErMo <sub>6</sub> Se <sub>8</sub>  | 6.0                | 1.1                |
| DyNi <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C | 6.2                | 11                 |
| ErNi <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C | 10.5               | 6.8                |
| TmNi <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C | 11                 | 1.5                |
| HoNi <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub> C | 8                  | 5                  |

Usually  $T_c > T_N$ 



7

#### FERROMAGNETIC CONVENTIONAL (SINGLET)

### SUPERCONDUCTORS



A. C. susceptibility and resistance versus temperature in  $\text{ErRh}_{4}\text{B}_{4}$  (Fertig *et al.*,1977).

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{RE-ENTRANT} \\ \textbf{SUPERCONDUCTIVITY} \\ \textbf{in } \textbf{ErRh}_4\textbf{B}_4 \ , \ \textbf{HoMo}_6\textbf{S}_8 \end{array}$ 

# Auto-waves in reentrant superconductors?



#### **Coexistence** phase



At T=0 and Q $\xi_0$ >>1 following (Anderson and Suhl, 1959)





Intensity of the neutron Bragg scattering and resistance as a function of temperature in an  $ErRh_4B_4$  (**Sinha** *et al.*,1982). The satellite position corresponds to the wavelength of the modulated magnetic structure around 92 Å.





#### FERROMAGNETIC UNCONVENTIONAL (TRIPLET) SUPERCONDUCTORS



## UGe<sub>2</sub> (Saxena *et al.,* 2000) and URhGe (Aoki *et al.,* 2001)

Triplet pairing





**URhGe** (a) The total magnetic moment M total and the component  $M_b$  measured for H// to the b axis . In (b), variation of the resistance at 40 mK and 500 mK with the field re-entrance of SC between 8-12 T (Levy et al 2005). The coexistence of singlet superconductivity and ferromagnetism is basically impossible in the same compound but may be easily achieved in artificially fabricated superconductor/ferromagnet heterostructures.





Due to the proximity effect, the Cooper pairs penetrate into the F layer and we have the unique possibility to study the properties of superconducting electrons under the influence of the huge exchange field.

Varying in the controllable manner the thicknesses of the ferromagnetic and superconducting layers it is possible to change the relative strength of two competing ordering. Interesting effects at the nanoscopic scale.

The Josephson junctions with ferromagnetic layers reveal many unusual properties quite interesting for applications, in particular the so-called  $\pi$ -Josephson junction (with the  $\pi$ -phase difference in the ground state).

h>>T<sub>c</sub>



# Superconducting order parameter behavior in ferromagnet

## Standard Ginzburg-Landau functional:

$$F = a |\Psi|^{2} + \frac{1}{4m} |\nabla\Psi|^{2} + \frac{b}{2} |\Psi|^{4}$$

The minimum energy corresponds to  $\Psi$ =const

The coefficients of GL functional are functions of internal exchange field h !

Modified Ginzburg-Landau functional ! :

$$F = a |\Psi|^{2} - \gamma |\nabla\Psi|^{2} + \eta |\nabla^{2}\Psi|^{2} + \dots$$

The **non-uniform** state  $\Psi$ ~exp(iqr) will correspond to minimum energy and higher transition temperature



 $\Psi \sim \exp(iqr)$  - Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov state (1964). Only in pure superconductors and in the very narrow region.

#### **Proximity effect in a ferromagnet ?**

In the usual case (normal metal):

Ψ

 $a\Psi - \frac{1}{4m}\nabla^2\Psi = 0$ , and solution for T > T<sub>c</sub> is  $\Psi \propto e^{-qx}$ , where  $q = \sqrt{4ma}$ 

In **ferromagnet** ( in presence of exchange field) the equation for superconducting order parameter is different

$$a\Psi + \gamma \nabla^2 \Psi - \eta \nabla^4 \Psi = 0$$

Its solution corresponds to the order parameter which decays with oscillations!  $\Psi \sim \exp[-(q_1 \quad iq_2)x]$ 

Wave-vectors are complex! They are complex conjugate and we can have a real  $\Psi$ .

Order parameter changes its sign!

15

Х

#### **Proximity effect as Andreev reflection**





#### **Classical Andreev reflection**

#### **Quantum Andreev reflection**



 $p_F$ 



## **Theory of S-F systems in dirty limit**

Analysis on the basis of the Usadel equations

$$-\frac{D_{f}}{2}\vec{\nabla}^{2}F_{f}(\mathbf{k},\omega,\mathbf{h}) + (\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{i}\mathbf{h})F_{f}(\mathbf{k},\omega,\mathbf{h}) = 0$$
$$G_{f}^{2}(\mathbf{k},\omega,\mathbf{h}) + F_{f}(\mathbf{k},\omega,\mathbf{h})F_{f}^{*}(\mathbf{k},\omega,\mathbf{h}) = 1$$

leads to the prediction of the oscillatory - like dependence of the critical current on the exchange field h and/or thickness of ferromagnetic layer.

Remarkable effects come from the possible shift of sign of the wave function in the ferromagnet, allowing the possibility of a  $\ll \pi$ -coupling  $\gg$  between the two superconductors ( $\pi$ -phase difference instead of the usual zero-phase difference)









$$\xi_f = \sqrt{D_f / h}$$

h-exchange field, D<sub>f</sub>-diffusion constant 18 The oscillations of the critical temperature as a function of the thickness of the ferromagnetic layer in S/F multilayers has been predicted in 1990 and later observed on experiment by Jiang et al. PRL, **1995**, in Nb/Gd multilayers





## **SF-bilayer** T<sub>c</sub>**-oscillations**



 $d_{Fmin} = (1/4) \lambda_{ex}$  largest  $T_c$ -suppression

## S-F-S Josephson junction in the clean limit

(Buzdin, Bulaevskii and Panjukov, JETP Lett. 81)



Damping oscillating dependence of the critical current  $I_c$  as the function of the parameter  $\alpha = hd_F / v_F$  has been predicted.

h- exchange field in the ferromagnet,  $d_F$  - its thickness



The oscillations of the critical current as a function of temperature (for different thickness of the ferromagnet) in S/F/S trilayers have been observed on experiment by Ryazanov et al. 2000, PRL









when  $\beta_L$ >1 with NO applied flux

$$\beta_{\rm L} = \Phi_0 / (4 \pi L I_c)$$
$$\Phi = \Phi_0 / 2$$

23

Bulaevsky, Kuzii, Sobyanin, JETP Lett. 1977

ወ

## *Current-phase experiment.* Two-cell interferometer





#### Cluster Designs (Ryazanov et al.)











fully-frustrated



2 x 2

30µm

0

unfrustrated



#### 2 x 2 arrays: spontaneous vortices

Fully frustrated







Checkerboard frustrated





T = 1.7K

#### Scanning SQUID Microscope images (Ryazanov et al.)



T = 4.2K



#### Critical current density vs. F-layer thickness (V.A.Oboznov et al., PRL, 2006)



### **F/S/F trilayers, spin-valve effect**

If  $d_s$  is of the order of magnitude of  $\xi_s$ , the critical temperature is controlled by the proximity effect.



Firstly the FI/S/FI trilayers has been studied experimentally in 1968 by Deutscher et Meunier.

F

 $d_{f}$ 

S

 $2d_s$ 

F

 $d_{f}$ 

In this special case, we see that the critical temperature of the superconducting layers is reduced when the ferromagnets are polarized in the same direction FIG. 1. Resistive measurements of the critical temperatures ( $R_N$  = resistance in the normal state) in zero field after the following: dashed line, application of 10 000 G ( $T_{C\, tt}$ ) (all fields are applied parallel to the plane of the films); solid line, application of -10 000 G and subsequently +300 G to return the magnetization of the FeNi layer ( $H_1 \leq 300 \text{ G} \leq H_2$ ) ( $T_{C\, tt}$ ).

In <u>the dirty limit</u>, we used the quasiclassical Usadel equations to find the new critical temperature  $T^*_{c.}$ . We solved it self-consistently supposing that the order parameter can be taken as :

$$\Delta = \Delta_0 \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{L^2} \right)$$

0.8

0.2

0.0

0.00

0.02

with L>>d<sub>s</sub>

Buzdin, Vedyaev, Ryazhanova, Europhys Lett. 2000, Tagirov, Phys. Rev. Let. 2000.

In the case of a perfect transparency of both interfaces

$${oldsymbol{T_c}}^* / oldsymbol{T_c}$$

$$d^* = \gamma \sqrt{\frac{h}{D_n} \frac{D_s}{4\pi T_c}}$$

 $I_{c\uparrow\uparrow}$ 

 $T_{c}$ 

0.04

0.06 0.08  
$$d^* / d_s$$

Phase ↑

$$\frac{\Psi_{c}}{d_{c}} = \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d^{*}T_{c}}{d_{s}T_{c\uparrow\downarrow}}\right)$$

0.12

0.14

0.10

Phase  $\uparrow\downarrow$ 

0.16

#### **Recent experimental verifications**



### **Evolution of the difference between the critical temperatures as a function of interfaces' transparency**





Inverse effect: appearence of the dense domain structure under the influence of superconductivity.

Not observed yet.

 $D << \xi$ 



#### Localized (domain wall) superconducting phase.

Theory - Houzet and Buzdin, Phys. Rev. B (2006).

Ni<sub>0.80</sub>Fe<sub>0.20</sub>/Nb (20nm)

Thin films : Néel domains

Rusanov et al., PRL, 2004

1.0

0.5

0.0

-0.5

-1.0

15

5.8

10

5.7

5.6

T [K]

H=4.2 mT

5

Ň M

### Domain wall superconductivity in purely electromagnetic model



### Superconducting nucleus in a periodic domain structure in an external field















Nb/BaFe<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub>



Z. YANG et al, Nature Materials, 2004

## **Atomic layered S-F systems**

(Andreev et al, PRB 1991, Houzet et al, PRB 2001, Europhys. Lett. 2002)

Magnetic layered superconductors like RuSr<sub>2</sub>GdCu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>



Also even for the quite small exchange field (h>T<sub>c</sub>) the  $\pi$ -phase must appear.



**Different mechanism for the**  $\phi_0$  **- Josephson junction realization.** 

Recently the broken inversion symmetry (BIS) superconductors (like  $CePt_3Si$ ) have attracted a lot of interest.

Very special situation is possible when the weak link in

Josephson junction is a non-centrosymmetric magnetic metal with broken inversion symmetry !

Suitable candidates : MnSi, FeGe.

Josephson junctions with time reversal symmetry:  $j(-\phi) = -j(\phi)$ ;

i.e. higher harmonics can be observed  $\sim j_n \sin(n\phi)$  –the case the  $\pi$  junctions.

Without this restriction a more general dependence is possible  $j(\phi) = j_0 \sin(\phi + \phi_0)$ .

Rashba-type spin-orbit coupling



 $\vec{n}$  is the unit vector along the asymmetric potential gradient.

#### Geometry of the junction with BIS magnetic metal



$$F = a |\Psi|^{2} + \gamma |\vec{D}\Psi|^{2} + \frac{b}{2} |\Psi|^{4} - \varepsilon \vec{n} \times \langle \Psi \langle \Phi \Psi \rangle + \Psi^{*} \langle \Phi \Psi \rangle$$
  
$$D_{i} = -i\partial_{i} - 2eA_{i}$$

$$a\Psi - \gamma \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + 2i\varepsilon h \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} = 0,$$

$$\Psi \propto \exp(i\widetilde{\varepsilon}x)\exp(-x\sqrt{\frac{a-a_c}{\gamma}}), \quad where \ \widetilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon h}{\gamma}$$



Imψ



In contrast with a  $\pi$  junction it is not possible to choose a real  $\Psi$  function !

## $\phi_0$ Josephson junction

$$j \phi = j_c \sin \phi + \varphi_o$$

where 
$$\varphi_o = \frac{2\varepsilon h}{\gamma}$$

The phase shift  $\phi_0$  is proportional to the length and the strength of the BIS magnetic interaction.

The  $\phi_0$  Junction is a natural phase shifter.

Energy 
$$E_J(\phi) \sim -j_c \cos(\phi + \phi_0)$$

#### Spontaneous flux (current) in the superconducting ring with $\phi_0$ - junction.



In the k<<1 limit the junction generates the flux  $\Phi = \Phi_0(\varphi_0/2\pi)$ 

$$\varphi_o = \frac{2\varepsilon hL}{\gamma}$$

**Very important** : The  $\phi_0$  junction provides a mechanism of a direct coupling between supercurrent (superconducting phase) and magnetic moment (z component).

## Applying to the $\phi_0$ - junction the current (phase difference) we can generate the magnetic moment rotation.



Magnetic moment precession – voltage-biased  $\varphi_0$ - juncti $\varphi(t) = \omega_J t$ 



$$\frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dt} = \gamma \mathbf{M} \wedge \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} + \frac{\alpha}{M_0} \left( \mathbf{M} \wedge \frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dt} \right).$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\delta F / \mathcal{V} \delta \mathbf{M}$  is the effective magnetic field

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{K}{M_0} \left[ \Gamma \sin \left( \omega_J t - r \frac{M_y}{M_0} \right) \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{M_z}{M_0} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right]$$

$$r = x v_{\rm so} / v_F$$

#### Complicated regime of the magnetic dynamics :



For more details – see (F. Konschelle and A. Buzdin, PRL, 2009).

**Complementary Josephson logic RSFQ-logic** using  $\pi$ -shifters R<sub>n</sub> A.V.Ustinov, V.K.Kaplunenko. Journ. Appl. Phys. 94, 5405 (2003) **RSFQ-** logic: Rapid Single Quantum logic Conventional RSFQ-cell  $L_{J} = \Phi_{0} / (2\pi I_{c})$  $\tau \sim 1/(I_c \mathbf{R})$  $LI_c > \Phi_0$ in RSFQ - $\pi$ - cell  $L \rightarrow 0$ Fluxon memorizing cell out 1 out 2 *To operate at 20 GHz clock rate* π-RSFQ –*Toggle*  $I_c R \sim 50 \mu V$  has to be Flip-Flop We have  $I_c R > 0.1 \mu V$  for the present 49

## Superconducting phase qubit



Digital bit



Quantum bit









qubit operation

## Conclusions

- Superconductor-ferromagnet heterostructures permit to study superconductivity under huge exchange field (h>>T<sub>c</sub>).
- The  $\pi$ -junction realization in S/F/S structures is quite a general phenomenon.
- Domain wall superconductivity. Spin valve effects.
- Inversion of the proximity effect in atomic F/S/F structures.
- The BIS magnets provide a mechanism of the realization of the novel  $\phi_0$  junctions with the very special properties.
- In these  $\phi_0$  junctions a direct (linear) coupling between superconductivity and magnetism is realized. They are the natural phase shifter

Refs.: Magnetic superconductors- M. Kulic and A. Buzdin in Superconductivity, Springer, 2008 (eds. Benneman and Ketterson). S/F proximity effect - A. Buzdin, Rev. Mod. Phys. (2005).